

Consultation Response

IGT118V: Amendments to the IGT UNC Password Protection Protocols

Responses invited by: 20 Mar 2019

Respondent Details

Name: Chris Barker

Organisation: BUUK Infrastructure

Support Implementation ☒

Qualified Support ☐

Neutral ☐

Do Not Support ☐

Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition

We support the implementation of this change proposal because, following the developments at the IGT UNC Modification Workstream, the change has been refined into an effective housekeeping change which will have little impact on systems and process but ensure that the IGT UNC is updated to account for modern changes and developments in technology.

Self-Governance Statement

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?

Yes, while the ancillary document affected by the change does refer to sensitive data, the process itself is not being changed but rather the wording around this which is being updated for greater efficiency and understanding.

Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered

N/A.

Relevant Objectives

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We agree with the proposer that Relevant Objective F is better achieved by this change as it will improve the understanding and use of the IGT UNC ancillary document, therefore improve the administrative efficiency.

Impacts and Costs

What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?

There are no impacts or costs foreseen worth of note by this change as it is primarily of a housekeeping nature to ensure that the ancillary document is updated to take into account modern developments and changes in technology.

Implementation

What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Because no significant system changes are foreseen, we agree that the change can be implemented in the next release of the IGT UNC.

Legal Text

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Following the discussions within the IGT UNC Modification Workstream, we feel that the proposed legal text is sufficient for the purpose of the modification.

Further Comments

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

N/A.

Responses should be submitted by email to IGTUNC@gemserv.com